

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,104	03/15/2004	Robert Juzwiak	TRM TR010037CON 9253	
7590 02/10/2005			EXAMINER	
STEVEN J. GROSSMAN			BLANKENSHIP, GREGORY A	
GROSSMAN,7	TUCKER, PERREAUL T	Γ & PFLEGER, PLLC		
55 SOUTH COMMERCIAL STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MANCHESTER, NH 03101			3612	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
○ Office Action Summary	10/801,104	JUZWIAK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Greg Blankenship	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-17 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/04,12/20/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim 21 is a duplicate of claim 19, from which claim 21 depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 12, 13, 15-17, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schambre et al. (6,149,219).

Schambre et al. disclose a tailgate module (24) that has a depression (36) that can positively locate an item in both a first direction and a second direction, where the second direction is perpendicular to the first direction. A clamp (56) is provided to prohibit movement of the item in a direction perpendicular to the first and second directions. The clamp is naturally spring loaded. The depression is capable of locating a power saw. The bar (30) can act as a guide for stock material.

4. Claims 12, 14-17, 19, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cupp et al. (5,169,202).

Cupp et al. disclose a tailgate module that is formed of several members (18,22). The members (18,22) define depressions (30) that can positively locate an item in a first and

Application/Control Number: 10/801,104 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

second direction, where the second direction is perpendicular to the first direction. The members (18,22) can be made of high impact plastic. High impact plastic parts are commonly formed by injection molding. Several items are shown clamped to the tailgate in such a way that the clamp prevents movement in a direction perpendicular to the first and second directions. A power saw can be located in the depression (30). A stock guide (90) is shown in Figure 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schambre et al. (6,149,219) in view of Cupp et al. (5,169,202).

Schambre et al. does not disclose the tailgate being molded.

Cupp et al. teach molding components of a tailgate.

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mold the unitary tailgate of Schambre et al., as taught by Cupp et al., to produce a tailgate that is resistant to rusting and denting.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-11 are allowed.

8. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Blankenship whose telephone number is (703) 305-0223.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3511, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label "FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY", "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

gab

February 4, 2005

GREGORY BLANKENSHIP PATENT EXAMINER